

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,339	10/10/2003	Laura Treibitz	22395.00	8713	
75	590 10/15/2004		EXAMINER		
Richard C. Lit	man	FRANCIS, FAYE			
LITMAN LAW P.O. Box 15035	OFFICES, LTD.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Arlington, VA			3728		

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/682,339	TREIBITZ ET AL.	$O_{\mathcal{N}}$		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Faye Francis	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion.  Is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timel  THS from the mailing date of this co  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>17 September 2004</u> .				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	•		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>Notice of Neterences Cited (170-032)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	48) Paper No(s	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/682,339

Art Unit: 3728

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaplan 6,030,274.

Kaplan discloses in the embodiment of Fig 6, a message pocket on a doll comprising: a fabric shell defining an exterior surface of a stuffed toy [col 3 line 52], a transparent pocket flap 48 having a perimeter that includes a top edge the pocket flap being attached along a portion of the perimeter to the exterior surface the top edge being left unattached to form a message pocket having an open top [col 4 lines 44-50], a photograph 50 which corresponds to the claimed message medallion removably contained within the pocket, the message medallion having a front surface, the message medallion being formed from a flat sheet of material and visual indicia formed on the front surface of the message medallion.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,339

Art Unit: 3728

4. Claims 5 and 7 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan in view of Larian.

Kaplan discloses most of the elements of these claims but for a necklace affixed to the message medallion.

Larian teaches the concept of providing a stuffed toy with a game unit 24 removably contained within a pocket wherein the game unit is attached to the toy via a chain 48 that is inherently capable of being used as a necklace [you can rap the chain around the neck of the toy bear]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Kaplan with the chain as taught by Larian in order not to lose the message medallion after the user opens the flaps.

5. Claim 6 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan in view of Larian as applied to claims 5 and 7 above and further in view of Hooper.

Modified device of Larian has most of the elements of this claim but for the necklace comprises at least one length of ribbon.

Hooper teaches that it is conventional to attach a toy [abstract line 6] to a body utilizing a ribbon. It would have been obvious in view of Hooper to further replace the chain in the modified device Larian with ribbon in order to avoid lost, especially since both will perform the same function of attaching the toy to the body, if one is replaced with the other.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,339

Art Unit: 3728

6. Claims 8-11 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan.

Kaplan discloses most of the elements of these claims but the message medallion is a piece of a stiff fabric with visual indicia embroidered onto it. It would have been obvious to make the message medallion out of heavy paper for added durability. Also, it would have been obvious to replace the message medallion in the device of Kaplan with any other object such as a piece of a stiff fabric with visual indicia embroidered onto it in order to make the device more fun to play with especially since Kaplan discloses that any gift items can be stored within it's pocket [col 4 lines 53-54].

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/682,339 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Francis whose telephone number is 703-306-5941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FF

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700